

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,909	12/14/2001	Philip Wolfson	19641.01	4215
75	90 04/04/2003			
Richard C. Litman			EXAMINER	
LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD. P.O. Box 15035			WARE, DEBORAH K	
Arlington, VA	22215		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	~
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m Rute.
112, searle & out
class.

<i>T</i>						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	•	10/014,909	WOLFSON, PHILIP			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Deborah K. Ware	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If No - Faill - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 E	<u>December 2001</u> .				
2a)□		is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· · _	tion of Claims					
4)[	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)	· · · ———	WITHOUT CONSIDERATION.				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
7)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
′=	•	election requirement				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. <b>Application Papers</b>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmer	nt(s)					
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
C Datast and 3	T					

Application/Control Number: 10/014,909

Art Unit: 1651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-29, drawn to a composition (herbal) for oral hygiene comprising extract from *Heliopsis longipes* root in an amount sufficient to promote oral hygiene, and/or local anesthesia, and/or desirable sensations to the oropharynx, and a carrier therefore, classified in class 424, subclass 725.
- II. Claims 30-40, drawn to a method for promoting oral hygiene, comprising contacting the oral cavity with a herbal composition including an extract from *Heliopsis longipes* in an amount sufficient to promote oral hygiene, classified in class 435, subclass 410.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. For example, the product as claimed can be used as a pesticide and further may be used alternatively as local anesthesia. Thus, although the extract may be required by both inventions its use and application may be quite different and distinct. One way distinctness is present between

Art Unit: 1651

the two inventions, and a serious burden is placed upon the examiner to search for all of these different and distinct uses.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah K. Ware whose telephone number is 308-4245. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 305-3592 for regular communications and 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0196.

Deborah K. Ware April 3, 2003